Appeal Form Review Form



| Royal Belgian |
|------------------------------------|
| Royal Belgian Bridge Federation |

| If the form is comp | leted by han | d, then pre | ferably in ca | apital le | etters a | and in | red or bla | ck ink. | • | | Ro Bride | oyal Belgian ge Federation |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---|--|--------------|------------------|---|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Match/Competition/Tournament: Venue: Ap | | | | Appea | ppeal submitted by: | | | | Tournament Director: | | | |
| Honour div 2 Reuven [| | | ☐ NS | NS / 🗷 EW / 🗌 TD | | | | Jeanne vol Meiracker | | | | |
| Board number: | Dealer: | er: Vulnerability: Da | | Date: Se | | Sess | sion: Grou | | ip: | Round: | Pair nur | nbers: |
| 8 W -); | | 12-1 | -10-24 R5 | | | | | NS: EW: | | | | |
| Team ever | X Team event North Mike vd Vorsk | | | | | | Relevan | t infor | mation S | ystem Card: | | |
| Pairs even | t Team | Team UAE | | | | | Basic System NS: | | | | | |
| □ Individual | | | | | | Basic Sy | stem E | :W: | | | | |
| ♥ Q32 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | 108 | | | Auction | | | | | | | |
| | • | 732 | | | | | | | West | North | East | South |
| West Clovis Delaye | | | | Ea | East Bernard Dehaye | | | 20 | p | 2D | 25 | |
| Team BBC 2 | | | | Те | Team BBC 2 | | | | 40 | 45 | UNT | p |
| * ~ | | W E | | | • Q5 | | | bC | p | p | P | |
| * 104 S | | | | | B | 187 | 65 | | | | | |
| • AK2 | | | | · J9 | | | 54 | Antonia e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | 60 | EN | no es | colonic |
| * AKQ38654 | | | * | • 9 | | | 6C | W95 | oddn | umber + | | |
| Frequency table: South Sam Balbour | | | + | | | | | | | V | ola | |
| Score Frequency Team UAEI * KJ 7432 | | | | Statement by the Tournament Director regarding the auction: Use the numbers 1, 2 and so on to refer to the above auction. Use A for alert, for hesitation. If relevant, mention when and how explanation was asked and given. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ▼ KJ9 | | | | 2C = weak in 0, 24-25 NT, GF | | | | | | | |
| ◆ Q 73 | | | | 2D relay UNT W > 5 blackwood E>N last ty (Nash | | | | | | | | |
| | ± 10 | | | Result at the oth | | | | | | 6CW | C W = 920 | |
| | | | | | | | Result of | the ma | atch with | this board: | IMP | VP |
| | Lead: | | Ag5 | | | | Team NS | | | | | 15.92 |
| | | | | | | | Team EW | | | | | 4.08 |
| When was the Tou called at the table | | | afre | She | p) ac |) | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | |
| Table result: 6C = Table re | | | | result | ult maintained Imposed penalties if any: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | ore NS: 50 % 6C = Adjusted score EW: -7 | | | | | | | |

50% bc-1)

∠d by hand, then preferably in capital letters and in red or black ink.

| at of the facts by the Tournament Director: | | |
|--|--------------|-------------|
| - was called by W, when he found out about the d | ifferent | explanation |
| of 4NT and 6C. | 00 | |
| N claimed, that if he know about the void, | he wo | ould never |
| lead a spade Ace | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Undertaken action: Adjusted score Procedural penalty Disciplinary penalty | 3 | |
| Motivation decision: | to the Laws: | |
| EW have no agreement about 4NT and 6C, | 75 E | 3 1 |
| I gave 50% -1 and 50% = | 12 C | |
| the poll: Belgium 50-50 | | |
| Tick the box of the alleged irregularities: Hesitation Other unauthorized information | Mistaken ex | planation |
| Something else namely: | | |
| Are there facts under discussion? No Yes, namely: | , | |
| Is this a case of unauthorized information? * If so, fill in A to G hereunder. With the questions B,C, E and F only tick the yes/no box | | ☐ Yes* 🕍 No |
| A. Which action is under discussion? | | |
| B. Did a player undertake an action that could be a consequence of unauthorized information, cau action of his partner? | sed by an | Yes No |
| C. Did the non-offending side possibly suffer damage from the alleged unauthorized information | | Yes No |
| Were there any logical alternatives for the contested auction? | | Yes* No |
| *If so, which? | | |
| Is the alleged unauthorized information of such a nature that it can be argued that the action ta player would most likely be more successful than one of the logical alternatives? | ken by the | Yes No |
| . Was a player consultation organised (a poll)? | | X Yes No |
| 6. If so, what questions were asked and what were the answers given? (if there is not enough space here, then explain further on page 4): | | |
| poll 42 players, in the honour division, wh | lo olio | In't play |
| round 2: 2 x 9 lead 2x club lead 6C-1 6C= | | |
| 6C-1 6C= | | |
| The Question was: what do you lead with . Mat 4NT = black wood en bC = odd + void | the esc | cplanation |
| | | |
| 2 players from the netherlands: without alert S | , with | void: V) |
| | | |

Appeal Form

If the form is completed by hand, then preferably in capital letters and in red or black ink.

| To be filled in by the players | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Please note that the appealing side must clearly mention the reasons for their appeal Without proper motivation the Reviewer and/or the Appeal Committee might reject the appeal | | | | | |
| Remarks by the appealing side | Remarks by the non-appealing side | | | | |
| N DIDN'T ASK ANYTHING | N DID ASK ABOUT 4NT | | | | |
| AND LED 44 DIRECTLY | THE EXPLANATION | | | | |
| AFTER THE END OF THE BIDDING | FOR LINT WAS EXTRA'S AND A SLAMTRY | | | | |
| SOUTH ASKED WEST | S ASK THE DIRECTOR | | | | |
| ABOUT GUT AND 690 AND NOTHING TO ASK | BECAUSE HE WAS SURPRISED THAT N | | | | |
| AFTER THE DOARD SOUTH | LED A SPADE AFTER | | | | |
| WAKE OF HIS PARTNER TO SEE IF THERE IS AS MISSEXPLANATION ISSUE | THE EXPLANATION HERECIEVED ON HIS - SIDE OF THE SCREEN | | | | |
| WE THINK ITS TOO BASY | OF THE DOREEN | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Name contact person: DIPECTOR AFTER | Name contact person: | | | | |
| | Name contact person: Domi Nique Sturck | | | | |
| | - | | | | |
| Name contact person: DIPECTOR AFTER | DOMINIQUE STUYCK | | | | |
| Name contact person: DIPECTOR AFTER | DOMINIQUE STUYCK | | | | |
| Name contact person: D[PECTOR AFTER] E-mail address: | DOMINIQUE STUYCK E-mail address: | | | | |
| Name contact person: D(DECTOR AFTER E-mail address: Telephone number: | E-mail address: Telephone number: | | | | |
| Name contact person: D[PECTOR AFTER] E-mail address: | E-mail address: Telephone number: | | | | |
| Name contact person: D(DECTOR AFTER E-mail address: Telephone number: | E-mail address: Telephone number: | | | | |
| Name contact person: D(DECTOR AFTER E-mail address: Telephone number: | E-mail address: Telephone number: | | | | |
| Name contact person: D(DECTOR AFTER E-mail address: Telephone number: | E-mail address: Telephone number: | | | | |
| Name contact person: D(DECTOR AFTER E-mail address: Telephone number: | E-mail address: Telephone number: | | | | |
| Name contact person: D(DECTOR AFTER E-mail address: Telephone number: | E-mail address: Telephone number: | | | | |
| Name contact person: D(DECTOR AFTER E-mail address: Telephone number: | E-mail address: Telephone number: | | | | |
| Name contact person: D(DECTOR AFTER E-mail address: Telephone number: | E-mail address: Telephone number: | | | | |
| Name contact person: D(PECTOR AFTER E-mail address: Telephone number: | E-mail address: Telephone number: | | | | |

Appeal Form

If the form is completed by hand, then preferably in capital letters and in red or black ink.

| We hereby certify that the facts as presented on page 1 of this form, linked to our comments on page 3, are a correct representation of the facts as they occurred in our opinion: | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Signature appealing side (team event captain ; pairs event both pla | yers): | Signature non-appealing side (team event captain; pairs event both players): | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Monetary deposit : € 150 | Deposit paid? Yes: | Bank transfer or Cash / No | | | |
| Bank : BNP Paribas Fortis - "Royal Belgia | an Bridge Federation" - | IBAN: BE26 2100 2112 4329 - BIC: GEBA BE BB | | | |
| Done at: | | | | | |
| | | Signature Tournament Director | | | |
| Date: 12 -10 - 2024 | | gran | | | |
| Extra space for explanation question G page 2 FEED BACK ROUTEWER | | | | | |
| South has the right to ask questions often the | | | | | |
| plan is lin | whed. | | | | |
| & Procedure has been followed Correctly. | | | | | |
| & Procedure has been followed correctly. @ Deposit forkited. | | | | | |
| Duklogg | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| (x) Jean François Jourdain (player of BBCE) | | | | | |
| orally confirmed in the presence of the TD | | | | | |
| that the deposit was payed by book transfer | | | | | |
| by his captain before the review was | | | | | |
| treated on 12-10-2024. | | | | | |